		3
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO	
3	WESTERN DIVISION	
4		
5	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, :	
6	Pl ai nti ff	: CRIMINAL NO. 1: 05-CR-37
7	-VS-	: Sentenci ng
8 9	J. PATRICK KISOR, Defendant	: Thursday, August 25, 2005 10:37 a.m. : Cincinnati, Ohio
10]	
11	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	
12	BEFORE THE HONORABLE MI CHAEL H. WATSON, JUDGE	
13		
14 15 16	22	Iliam E. Hunt, Esq. rst Assistant United States Attorney 21 East Fourth Street, Suite 400 ncinnati, Ohio 45202
17	For the Defendant: GI	enn V. Whitaker, Esq.
18	At	orys, Sater, Seymour and Pease crium Two, Suite 2000
19		21 East Fourth Street ncinnati, Ohio 45202
20		
21		
22		
23	Court Reporter: Ju	ulie A. Wolfer, RDR, CRR
24		
25		

1 PROCEEDI NGS 2 (In open court at 10:37 a.m.) COURTROOM DEPUTY: The next matter on the docket is 3 4 District Court Case Number 1:05CR37, U.S.A. versus J. Patrick 5 Ki sor. 6 THE COURT: Can I get a show of hands from the folks 7 back there, are there victims of Mr. Kisor in the court? 8 Thank you, sir. 9 Anyone el se? 10 You folks will get an opportunity to speak. 11 Gentlemen, if you'd come forward. 12 Mr. Kisor, you have a number of good friends. 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Whi taker. 15 MR. WHI TAKER: Yes, sir. 16 THE COURT: How are you? 17 MR. WHI TAKER: Thank you. Good. 18 You understand that we don't have all of THE COURT: 19 the restitution figures at this point, but Miss Jensen is 20 working diligently to get them. 21 MR. WHITAKER: That's my understanding, Your Honor. 22 Mr. Hunt and I spoke about it this morning, and we're prepared 23 to proceed; and then, of course, the Court can modify the 24 restitution order as it deems necessary. 25 THE COURT: Very well.

```
1
              You've had an opportunity to review the presentence
2
     report?
 3
              MR. WHITAKER: I have, Your Honor.
                          And, Mr. Kisor, you have as well?
 4
              THE COURT:
 5
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have, Your Honor.
 6
              THE COURT:
                          Have all of -- if there were objections,
7
     have they been resolved?
8
              MR. WHITAKER: Yes, sir. There are no objections
9
     pendi ng.
10
              THE COURT:
                         Very well.
11
              Good morning, Mr. Hunt.
12
                          Good morning, Your Honor.
              MR. HUNT:
13
              THE COURT:
                          Mr. Whitaker, you want to go ahead and
14
     make a mitigation statement on behalf of your client?
15
              MR. WHI TAKER:
                              Thank you, Your Honor.
16
              Your Honor, the Court is aware that the Probation
17
     Department has recommended in this case that the Court find 29
18
     as the appropriate quideline level. That is different than
19
     what was contained in the plea agreement, but there has been no
20
     objection by either side with respect to the finding of the
21
     Probation Department.
22
              If the Court chooses to strictly apply the guidelines,
23
     certainly we would ask the Court to sentence at the low end of
24
     the guideline range. Of course, the Court now, pursuant to the
25
     decisions from the Supreme Court, is free to impose any
```

sentence within the statute.

The Court has received numerous letters sent by friends and family in this case which I think tell a more complete story of Pat Kisor than the facts of the information in the plea.

Your Honor, Mr. Kisor, as is detailed in the presentence investigation report, is a man of humble origins who started an investment business that clearly went awry, in large part through his efforts but also in large part due to the situation of the market. Pat has proven over the years to be a generous and kindhearted man who has given countless hours of his time and money to charities, friends, church and community, and all of that, Your Honor, is attested to, I think, in detail in the letters that you have received. He is a very talented individual, an entrepreneur who we believe if given an opportunity can and will make good on his promise to repay every investor in this case.

Pat came to me, Your Honor, with his problems nearly three years ago, I guess, at this point. We discussed them, and he opted to meet with the United States Attorney's office and confess the investment losses and misuse of funds that became the subject of the plea. At the time that he came forward, there were some investigations going on with respect to Agave, but at least as far as Pat was concerned, he was unaware of any criminal investigation by the United States

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Attorney. I'm not saying that there wasn't one, but as far as he was concerned, he was not aware of it.

We met on several occasions with the agents. Pat always took full responsibility for his actions. He never attempted to cast blame on others, although I think that would have been appropriate in some instances. And I can tell the Court that throughout my contact with him, his main concern in all his conversations has been his investors and getting them repaid.

As the Court can tell, and his family is here today, Pat is a family -- loving, caring, family man. He has five chi I dren. He has a very close family. He has literally, Your Honor, taken people into his home when they were in time of Just yesterday, one of his friends who is here today, a lady named Pamela Winther who has submitted a letter to the Court, sent me a letter documenting efforts that she has engaged in over the past couple weeks to find unpaid employment for Pat if the Court were to impose a sentence that would allow him to stay in the community and work for various agencies that would have unpaid employment for him. And there are some. There are some that are -- would be happy to have his expertise and abilities. And, again, of course, that is entirely up to the Court as to how the Court wishes to proceed.

I can tell you, Your Honor, that Pat Kisor is deeply sorry for his crimes. He's been extremely remorseful in all of

1 my dealings with him. He has had a gambling addiction and he 2 has sought treatment for that and continues to receive 3 treatment for it, and that, in part, led to some of the 4 situations that we have. 5 The money loss --How long has he had a gambling addiction? 6 THE COURT: 7 MR. WHI TAKER: How long, do you --8 THE DEFENDANT: Probably since about 1990. 9 when I started gambling. I didn't know -- I didn't recognize 10 the addiction until later. 11 THE COURT: Thank you. 12 MR. WHI TAKER: Your Honor, there clearly has been a 13 number of diversions of funds. We've admitted to all of that. 14 15 There's never been any dispute about it. respect to that. 16

I know that the United States Attorney has prepared charts with only dispute with respect to a portion of it which is about 3.6 million of the 8 million dollars that's referred to in there is that a lot of that money went to others who were working with or associated with Mr. Kisor, but clearly it was his responsibility and he's admitted all of that and takes full responsibility for it.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Your Honor, the only thing I would say is that, again, if the Court follows the guidelines, we would ask for the low end of the guideline range as a sentence; but we would ask the Court to exercise its newly recognized discretion in this case

```
and impose a sentence that will allow Pat back into the work
2
     force as quickly as possible so that he can repay the
 3
     investors.
                 And I can assure the Court that is his intention
 4
     and that is what he expects to do over the coming years.
 5
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you.
 6
              Mr. Kisor, what do you have to say, sir?
7
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              I'm deeply sorry for the things that I
8
           I take full responsibility for my actions. I apologize
     di d.
9
     to my investors, to the Court, to society at large, and to my
10
              And I will work the rest of my life to repay the money
11
     that has been taken and to try and rebuild the trust that I
12
     broke.
13
              That's all.
14
                           Thank you.
              THE COURT:
15
              Mr. Hunt.
16
                         Your Honor, thank you for the opportunity.
              MR. HUNT:
17
              May I have a moment? I do have a chart I'd like to
18
     show to the Court.
19
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you.
20
                         While we're getting that, I would say for
              MR. HUNT:
21
     the record that I've shown this to Mr. Whitaker. He is aware
22
             But I do want to take an opportunity to kind of
23
     emphasize to the Court the nature of this case.
24
              While we are waiting for the chart, I would say that
25
     Mr. Kisor accepted money from a number of different victims, a
```

lot of whom were well-to-do, prominent members of the community, as the Court is aware of that. Here in court today is an attorney for one of the victims that I think might want to speak to you.

If you don't mind, Glenn, I'll just put that here.

think the Judge can see this where it is.

THE COURT: I can see it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There is an attorney present, Jeffrey MR. HUNT: Bakst, who represents Dr. Errol Stern, a prominent surgeon here He was one of the people that invested money. are a lot of people like him that are well-off in the community. But I also wanted to tell the Court that one of the victims was a young man by the name of Nicholas Kroehner. He's on the list. He was in a motorcycle accident, Your Honor, and received a settlement, some \$200,000, which he invested with Mr. Kisor. That money is lost. Mr. Kroehner does not have the ability, like some of the other victims, to go out and make large amounts of additional money because he had to drop out of school, didn't have the ability to pay tuition, and is right now struggling. So there is a wide variety of people that were affected by this activity.

Now, Mr. Whitaker, and I understand his point of view, wants to cast this as investment losses. However, we're not here to talk about the money that was lost through bad investments. We're here to talk about the money that Mr. Kisor

stole and he used it for his own personal reasons, personal housing expenses, luxury vehicles, clothing, jewelry, gambling losses, vacations, et cetera. So while the people who invested the money could reasonably accept some risk from their investment, they certainly didn't contemplate that the person with whom they were investing would actually just take the money and use it for himself. As you can see from the chart the different categories that add up to over eight million dollars. Not bad investments but actual diversion from the investments.

Now, I know that the Court takes a lot of time and puts a lot of thought into an appropriate sentence in these cases. We understand that the guidelines offer a range. I know the Court has discretion. But I think the Court should seriously consider the guidelines because they do represent a lot of the factors that the Court should consider in determining what's appropriate in this case, not only from the standpoint of consistency throughout the country and the types of sentences that should be awarded but in deterrence and actual punishment for these crimes.

Mr. Kisor, while he, I'm sure, is sincere in his desire to pay back the money, he can't pay back the money.

These people are out. And while there may be some compensation through a receiver and all of that, eventually it's still going to amount to just pennies on the dollar, Your Honor, and I'm

1 sure the Court is aware of that, Mr. Whitaker is; and as much 2 as Mr. Kisor tries, it will take a long time for him to come up 3 with the eight million dollars that represent the loss in this 4 case. So I want the Court to take all of that into consideration in arriving at an appropriate sentence. 5 6 THE COURT: Mr. Hunt --7 MR. HUNT: Yes, sir. 8 -- does he face additional issues with the THE COURT: 9 IRS at this point? 10 MR. HUNT: One of the provisions of the plea agreement 11 was for Mr. Kisor to file appropriate tax returns for the years 12 That time has come in question within 120 days of his plea. 13 and gone. We have checked. He has not filed. Mr. Whitaker 14 tells me that he is in the process of getting that together and 15 I believe that's true, but he has not yet made amends with the 16 IRS. Whether there will be additional criminal charges, I 17 doubt, but he will have some financial liability with them. 18 MR. WHI TAKER: Your Honor, if I may speak to that. 19 Part of the problem is is that Mr. Kisor's records weren't 20 great to begin with and what he had is now in the possession of 21 And he's trying to reconstruct, and it's the government. 22 difficult because obviously he doesn't want to file something 23 that's incorrect, and that is the problem in these kinds of 24 cases. But he will continue to try to do that.

So a restitution figure, it seems to me

25

THE COURT:

1 the restitution figure is in the six-million-dollar range here; 2 correct? 3 MR. WHITAKER: It is, Your Honor, as contained in the PSI R. 4 5 THE COURT: On top of that, he's going to have 6 probably significant additional tax liability; correct? 7 MR. WHITAKER: I believe so, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: And penalty and interest. 9 Okay. Are there victims that would like to speak? 10 Sir, if you'd approach the podium, state your name for 11 the record. 12 MR. BAKST: Good morning, Your Honor. My name is Jeffrey Bakst. I'm an attorney here representing one of the 13 14 victims, Dr. Errol Stern, who is a active practicing orthopedic 15 surgeon who has a full orthopedic schedule this morning or he 16 would be here. And he just wanted me to come and let you know 17 there's another side to this story. 18 We hear that this was investment losses, but from 19 Dr. Stern this man took \$500,000, blatantly stole it. He just 20 He never -- he didn't invest it. And we filed a stole it. 21 civil suit, and when it wasn't stayed, it's stayed right now 22 because of the SEC suit that's in a different court, but when 23 it wasn't stayed, we didn't get, well, we'll consent to a 24 judgment; they fought us. Dr. Stern's never heard an apology 25 from this man. No remorse whatsoever from this man. And he

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
took a piece of Dr. Stern's retirement money, and he wants the
Court to know that this man -- it wasn't a bad investment, it
was a blatant theft, and we would ask for restitution, Your
Honor.
         THE COURT:
                     Thank you.
         MR. BAKST:
                     That's all we have.
         THE COURT:
                     Thank you.
         Anyone el se?
         Sir.
         MR. DRABEK:
                      Good morning.
                                     Good day. My name is
Dennis Drabek.
         I was not only an investor in Pat's organizations but
at one point in time I was a confident, or I thought I was a
confident. It's nice for him to have Mr. Whitaker as his
         The sale of a Rolls-Royce -- excuse me, I'm a little
nervous -- the sale of a Hummer, investors' money to pay for
                    Eight million. It's in excess of sixteen
his legal counsel.
         That's in another court.
million.
         He's a thief. He uses his church to cover. I sat in
meetings where he spoke of the Lord and setting up 150 million
         He had told everyone he had in excess of a hundred
million dollars sitting inside an account. He used his church,
he used his friends, his confidants. He's using his attorney
to bamboozle this Court.
         THE COURT: I don't feel bamboozled, but go ahead.
```

1 MR. DRABEK: But I'm listening to what's being 2 presented quite eloquently by Mr. Whitaker, and it's so far 3 from the truth. I think Mr. Kisor is a generous individual. 4 It's easy to be generous with other people's money. 5 I feel bad for his wife and his family. I feel bad 6 for the people that were kind enough to write letters on his 7 behalf because they truly don't know him. He may have remorse 8 now that he's going to prison. 9 Once he knew it was over, I believe it was a hundred 10 thousand in one week. New Mini Cooper, a new B-Rod 11 Harley-Davidson. Who knows how much cash was spent, the money 12 wasted in Las Vegas. The moneys lost are far in excess of 13 eight million dollars. There are other investors, they're too 14 embarrassed to appear in this court. They're too embarrassed 15 to stand at this podium. I wish I wasn't standing at this 16 But someone needs to speak other than the eloquent podi um. 17 Mr. Whitaker. 18 THE COURT: I appreciate --19 MR. DRABEK: I wish his family the best. Hopefully 20 they do well. That's where the sorrow and pity needs to go. 21 just hope the Court sees justice in this. 22 Thank you. 23 THE COURT: Sir, your loss is what? 24 MR. DRABEK: I can't put a dollar amount on my loss at 25 this point because I don't have all the records from the

```
1
     government. I was being paid moneys, amount I can't put on it,
2
     but it's in excess of seven figures.
                                            More than seven figures.
 3
              Thank you, sir.
 4
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you, sir.
 5
              Miss Jensen, are you working with Mr. Drabek?
              PROBATION OFFICER JENSEN:
 6
                                          I will be, yes.
 7
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you.
8
              Mr. Kisor, I have not accepted your plea yet. I want
9
     you to understand that if I impose a sentence that's greater
10
     than you anticipate, you can't withdraw your plea.
11
     understand that?
12
              THE DEFENDANT: I do understand that.
13
              THE COURT:
                          Do you wish to go forward with your plea
14
     at this time?
15
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
16
              MR. WHI TAKER:
                             Your Honor, if I might have just one
17
     moment of response.
18
              I have absolutely no quarrel with Mr. Bakst and his
19
     client.
              Certainly Mr. Bakst did the best he could for his
20
     client and his client deserves to be repaid. I have nothing to
21
     comment with respect to him.
22
              However, Mr. Drabek is an entirely different story.
23
     Mr. Drabek introduced Mr. Kisor to Keith Mohn, and that's
24
     reflected in the PSIR. Mr. Drabek received substantial amounts
25
     of money from the amounts that are referred to here with
```

respect to 3.6 million dollars. Our estimate is that he's received as much as \$750,000 and various kinds of gifts from Mr. Kisor along the way. He used Mr. Kisor's credit cards repeatedly for his own personal use. Throughout this, Mr. Kisor could have shifted or attempted to shift blame to Mr. Drabek. He didn't do that, Your Honor. Mr. Drabek was a participant in all of the fraudulent activity, or much of it, at least, that is the subject of this fraud indictment.

So with -- there are many, many victims in this case and we don't diminish their loss in any way, but I will tell the Court that Mr. Drabek is not among them.

THE COURT: Any other victims wish to speak?

Ma'am. Come forward, if you would.

MS. TURBA: Your Honor, Liz Turba. I'm an investor.

And I must preface this by saying that I knew Pat
Kisor when he drove a Ford Pinto with the muffler hanging off,
installing audio visual equipment in my home. We had many,
many long discussions about family, about spirituality, about
life. He sat at my dinner table. And now he's taken my
children's inheritance. This was money from a divorce that I
can never recover. As a single mother now, I was forced to
sell my home in Amberly, move to a condominium, move my
children because I could no longer afford. And I'm one of the
least. I'm hearing when we sit around in court in Michigan to
try to recover the losses with the receiver, I'm hearing

```
1
     stories from people about they couldn't afford cancer
2
     treatment.
                 And as a cancer nurse, I have nothing but feelings
 3
     of helplessness in this case that I even knew Pat and I thought
 4
     I knew him very well, but it turned out that I'm not sure that
5
     I can believe anything that came out of his mouth.
                                                          And I
6
     really -- it took me a lot of courage to come up here today
7
     because of my position in the community, but I feel it's
8
     important for Pat to understand that this is not something that
9
     requires a year of probation. It's affected numerous lives,
10
     destroyed lives.
11
              Thank you, Your Honor.
12
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you, ma'am.
13
              Anyone el se?
14
              Counsel approach.
15
         (Sidebar conference held off the record with counsel.)
16
                          For the record, the Court's discussed with
              THE COURT:
17
     counsel the other civil litigation that's pending, the
18
     potential for additional criminal actions, and so forth.
19
              So, Mr. Kisor, is there anything you'd like to discuss
20
     with Mr. Whitaker about our conversation before we proceed?
21
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              No, Your Honor.
22
                         All right. In the lion's share of the
              THE COURT:
23
     cases that I accept pleas of guilty in, I accept the plea at
24
     the time that it is entered. This is not your garden variety
25
     fraud, and that is why I held off accepting the plea until
```

after I reviewed the presentence report and had an opportunity to hear from the victims or some of the victims.

Mr. Kisor, you have clearly been attuned to all of the questions that I've asked of you, you have appeared to give straightforward responses, based upon what Mr. Hunt has explained you have been forthcoming for several years with information about your crimes.

My review of the presentence report, to the extent that it has been able to contact folks willing to talk, to the extent that Miss Jensen has been willing and able to contact folks willing to talk, discloses that you have a number of friends and folks you've met in the course of your dealings with your church who are big fans, but they also recognize that you have to pay a penalty for your actions.

I've observed you throughout these proceedings.

You've responded directly to my questions. I'm satisfied that you are in full possession of your faculties; that you're not suffering from any apparent physical or mental illness as you stand here today. You don't appear to be under the influence of narcotics or alcohol. You seem to clearly understand the nature of these proceedings, the charges involved, the consequences of your plea of guilty. I suspect based upon representations that have been made that you're fully aware of all plea negotiations that have been undertaken in your behalf; correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Accordingly, I'm finding that you are fully competent and capable of entering into an informed plea; that your plea of guilty is a knowing and voluntary plea supported by an independent basis in fact containing each of the essential elements of the offense. Your plea is therefore accepted. You are now adjudged guilty of the three offenses to which you have entered pleas and now stand before the Court for sentencing.

For the record, the Court has reviewed the presentence report, the sentencing memorandum prepared by Mr. Richardson and Mr. Whitaker. I've reviewed letters from Bishop Michael Harward, Keith Jensen, brothers David Kisor and your father, I believe, as well, your wife, Denise Kisor, Gregory Hurst Kisor, Mike Lane, Randy McGladrie, Mike Nakata, Eugene Northrop, Janet Northrop, Kevin Rutter, Mr. Anthony Strike, Pamela Winther, Jim Zaya, one filed yesterday from a Leroy Moster. A letter --well, all of these have been favorable. A letter from the Pushmans which indicates that they are out of combined total of \$200,000, they are senior citizens who have lost a significant percentage, I believe, of their retirement fund. And I don't know at this point whether they've received any moneys back from Agave.

Miss Jensen, do you know?

PROBATION OFFICER JENSEN: Your Honor, I don't have

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that information with me right now. I will verify if they've received any money.

THE COURT: I don't know if this letter has been filed. It is a May 7th letter. I'll give you -- yes, it is listed on your -- it's listed on your list. Good enough.

You know, you've admitted here today to the vice of gambling beginning in 1990. It's true that people lost money in a market downturn, but what -- that may explain some of this, but what is inconsistent with all of the letters that have been written in support is the behavior that led to two-and-a-half million dollars of gambling losses or the purchase of 1.75 million dollars in vehicle purchases, payments on a home in apparently the Virgin Islands, 3.625 million dollars of other personal expenditures. It's a whale of a lot It's 8.092 million dollars of funds that the government claims are diverted for personal expenditures. don't know how you ever will repay that. You've saddled your I don't think you've crippled your family, although you've crippled some families, in terms of their ability to go forward and to live comfortably. Clearly, some of these victims were investors that could afford -- could afford to run the risk of their investment, but I don't think any of them expected to be victims of theft. I think they could anticipate the risks in the marketplace, but I don't think they could anticipate the risk that you would steal from them. And.

obviously, that's what brings you here.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

18 United States Code Section 3553(a) indicates that there are a number of factors that I shall consider in imposing sentence. It begins by stating that the Court shall impose a sentence that is sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to comply with the purposes that are set forth in paragraph (2). In addition, I have to consider the nature and circumstances of the offense, the history and characteristics of the defendant.

Everything I know about your history is that you and your wife come from essentially middle-class homes. You're the product of divorce, like a number of other people. unfortunate. It doesn't explain how you've become a thief. You appear to be very active in your church. You appear to have been very forthcoming with your money, willing to help That is to be commended. virtually anyone. Those are traits that I suppose will hopefully inure to the benefit of your family while you're away.

I have to consider the sentence that I impose reflects the seriousness of the offense, that it promotes respect for the law, that it provides just punishment for the offense, affords adequate deterrence to any future criminal conduct. I'm not concerned about that really. I don't believe you're going to be in a position in the future to wreak this kind of financial havoc again, but, nonetheless, it is a factor that I have considered.

1 (C) kind of goes hand in hand with (B) in terms of the 2 need to protect the public from further crimes of the 3 defendant. You're not a violent criminal, but your acts have 4 done great violence in a number of homes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Sentencing Guidelines provide for probationary sentences and they provide for prison sentences and they provide for split sentences, and you don't qualify for a probationary sentence.

The guidelines are to be treated as advisory, but in more cases than not I have tried to adhere to those guidelines because I think they are instructive and they do help guide the Court in the exercise of its discretion in most instances.

Mrs. Kisor, I would say this to you: I apologize for what I have to do, but I don't apologize in the same vein. feel badly for you and your family and what your children will have to endure as a result of your husband's crimes. At the same time, the victims have suffered significant loss, and that must be addressed.

Two-point-some-odd million dollars to the Bellagio for gambling losses, a Rolls-Royce, a Lamborghini, and two Vectors.

I suspect at this point, Mr. Kisor, you realize that when you lay in your grave, you can't take it with you.

> THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And the pursuit of material gain will destroy, if you let it, and you've done a whale of a job.

1 The agreed-upon base offense level, I believe, was 30, 2 and the Court so finds. However, appropriate Sentencing 3 Guideline is 2B1.1(a). 4 I stand corrected. The base offense level is 6. 5 Because of the specific offense characteristics, we add 20 6 And because you defrauded approximately 140 investors, 7 there's an additional increase of four levels because the 8 offense involved more than 50 victims. So that gets us to 30. 9 There is an additional adjustment for your role in the 10 offense of two points which really takes us to 32. 11 You're being given credit under the guidelines of an 12 additional two points for acceptance of responsibility and an 13 additional one point because you went to the authorities to 14 notify them of your own misconduct. So that's how we get to 15 29. 16 You don't have a criminal history, juvenile or 17 otherwise, which means that you are a 29 offense level adjusted 18 and a criminal history category of I. 19 To Amanda, Lehla, Josef, Jameson, and Jackson, I 20 apologize for having to sentence your father to prison, but he 21 has richly deserved this by his actions, and I believe he's 22 told you that.

As you know, Mr. Kisor, the sentencing range for a 29,

category I is between 87 months and 108 months as to Count 1.

It is 60 months for Count 2. It's one to three years as to

23

24

25

Count 3.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

J. Patrick Kisor, I hereby commit you to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons for a term of 90 months in Counts 1 and 3 -- I misspoke previously -- Counts 1 and 3, and 60 months as to Count 2. All three of these sentences will be served concurrently to each other.

Following a sentence of imprisonment, you will be placed on supervised release. You will be placed on supervised release for the maximum period of time available under the statute which is three years for this -- these crimes.

Within 30 days of the commencement of your term of supervised release, the probation officer will recommend a payment schedule that will -- to me that will enable you to begin to pay the special assessment of a hundred dollars on each of the three counts that you've pled to, so \$300, and to pay the restitution figure.

As a condition of supervised release, you shall not commit any federal, state, or local crime. You're prohibited from possessing a firearm or other dangerous device. You shall not possess any illegal controlled substances. The mandatory drug testing condition is waived due to defendant's lack of prior substance abuse that I'm aware of. You are to otherwise comply with all the standard conditions of supervised release. And you are to make regular payments on the restitution figure that I will impose.

1 Unless I'm advised otherwise, I see no reason to 2 collect your DNA. 3 PROBATION OFFICER JENSEN: Your Honor, because this is a felony conviction, the law says we have to. 4 5 THE COURT: I've been advised otherwise. Therefore, 6 we're going to collect your DNA. 7 Thank you. You're to continue to provide all requested financial 8 9 information to Miss Jensen. 10 When you get out, you shall not engage in an 11 occupation involving investments, at least not without prior 12 permission of the Court, nor open any new lines of credit 13 without permission of the probation officer. 14 It's my understanding you have surrendered all the 15 assets you have. Is that correct? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 17 THE COURT: Now, you and your wife -- you started a 18 couple of businesses; correct? Are those ongoing concerns? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 20 THE COURT: You started them or your wife started them 21 or your family started them, what happened? 22 My -- my wife started them. I iust THE DEFENDANT: 23 assisted her in starting them with labor and work. 24 THE COURT: The recommended restitution figure at this 25 point is six point nine zero one six seven four million dollars 1 and thirty-one cents. That number will increase. 2 Miss Jensen has requested an additional 90 days to 3 contact additional individuals who may be victims in this case 4 to assign their losses as restitution, and she will need to 5 confirm that and so the Court will grant that additional 90 6 days.

You shall participate in the inmate financial responsibility program during your incarceration, and to the extent that we can start a payment plan, albeit small, while you're in prison, you'll start paying a portion of your prison salary to reduce your obligation.

You have a right to appeal my sentence if you believe that it is illegal in any way, as does the government. have ten days from today's date within which to do that.

Mr. Whitaker, I would ask that you are retained counsel, that you would protect your client's appellate rights in that regard.

> I will, Your Honor. MR. WHI TAKER:

THE COURT: Very well.

Anything further on behalf of the government?

Your Honor, there is one technical matter MR. HUNT: that Mr. Whitaker and I would like to discuss with you at the si debar.

> THE COURT: Yes.

SIDEBAR CONFERENCE

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
1
              MR. HUNT: I didn't want to do this in open court, but
2
     I think when you announced that the restitution would begin as
 3
     part of the supervised release, I think you should change that
 4
     to make it due and payable in total immediately because I don't
5
     think you meant that.
6
                          Well, yeah. And I was conflicting there
              THE COURT:
7
     at the end too.
8
              MR. HUNT:
                         So I don't know how you want to clean that
9
     up, but usually the restitution orders are --
10
              THE COURT:
                         11
              MR. HUNT:
                         -- are payable immediately.
12
              THE COURT:
                         I'll just clear that up.
13
     SIDEBAR CONFERENCE CONCLUDED
14
                          Mr. Kisor, I want to clarify for the
              THE COURT:
15
     record that the restitution figure of at least 6.9 million
16
     dollars as I previously stated is due immediately. Therefore,
17
     the provisions we were talking about with inmate financial
18
     responsibility, your obligation to begin to pay starts today.
19
     All right.
20
              I do believe that will be all.
21
              MR. WHITAKER: Your Honor, just a couple of things, if
22
     we might.
23
              First of all, could Mr. Kisor have a voluntary report?
24
              THE COURT:
                         Yes.
25
              MR. WHI TAKER:
                             And, secondly, would the Court
```

```
1
     recommend the Ashland facility as the facility because of its
2
     proximity to his home?
 3
              THE COURT: All I can do is recommend that, as you
 4
     know.
5
              MR. WHITAKER: I understand.
6
              THE COURT:
                          And, yes, I will do that.
7
              MR. WHI TAKER:
                              Thank you, Your Honor.
8
              THE COURT:
                          Anything further, Mr. Hunt?
              MR. HUNT:
9
                         No, Your Honor.
                                           Thank you.
10
              THE COURT:
                          Mr. Whi taker?
11
              MR. WHI TAKER:
                              Nothing further, Your Honor.
12
              THE COURT:
                          That will be all.
13
         (Proceedings concluded at 11:37 a.m.)
14
15
16
                           CERTIFICATE
17
               I, Julie A. Wolfer, the undersigned, do hereby
18
     certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the
19
     record of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
20
                                s/Julie A. Wolfer
21
                                Julie A. Wolfer, RDR, CRR
                                Official Reporter
22
23
24
25
```